

THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA  
2:30 o'clock, Friday, June 14, 1974

Opening Prayer by Mr. Speaker.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

MR. SPEAKER: Before proceeding, I should like to direct the attention of the honourable members to the gallery where we have 120 students, Grade 11 standing, of the Steinbach Regional Secondary School. These students are under the direction of Mr. Suss, Mr. Lewis and Mr. Reimer. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for La Verendrye.

And we have 20 students, Grade 11 standing, of the Murdoch MacKay School. These students are under the direction of Mr. Heindl. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Transcona, the Minister of Labour.

On behalf of all the honourable members, I welcome you here this afternoon.

Presenting Petitions; Reading and Receiving Petitions; Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees. The Honourable Member for St. Vital.

REPORTS BY STANDING AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES

MR. D. JAMES WALDING (St. Vital): Mr. Speaker, I beg to present the 7th report of the Standing Committee on Law Amendments.

MR. CLERK: Your committee met on Friday, June 14, 1974 and considered bills:

No. 86 - An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act (4).

No. 92 - An Act to amend The Teachers' Pensions Act.

And has agreed to report the same with certain amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for St. Vital.

MR. WALDING: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Gimli, that the report of the committee be received.

MOTION presented and carried.

MR. SPEAKER: Ministerial Statements and Tabling of Reports; Notices of Motion, Introduction of Bills. The Honourable First Minister.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

HON. EDWARD SCHREYER (Premier) (Rossmere): Mr. Speaker, by leave, I would like to introduce a bill, subject matter of which was related to certain honourable members opposite. If there is any difficulty in concept, or in any other respect at any stage in this bill, we quite understand then the necessity of referring the subject matter to an inter-sessional committee which will be moved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs. But in any case, Sir, I, at this point in time, I would move, by leave, seconded by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, that leave be given to introduce a Bill No. 97, an Act to amend The City of Winnipeg Act (3) and that the same be now received and read a first time.

MR. SPEAKER: Oral questions. The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, I just might indicate further that a copy of the bill should be distributed momentarily, and as I understand it the House Leader will proceed to other business. When we reconvene in the House we will at least attempt to deal with second reading stage.

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Leader of the Opposition.

HON. SIDNEY SPIVAK, Q. C. (Leader of the Official Opposition) (River Heights): Mr. Speaker, my question is to the Minister of Finance, and I believe notice was given to him in connection with this, or to the First Minister. This deals with the question of the purchase and maintenance cost of hearing aids and the financial difficulties--(Interjection)--The Minister of Health? Well there was a question I believe giving notice to his department, and hopefully to him, in connection with the rise in prices of the purchase and the maintenance cost of hearing aids, and the financial difficulties that are being experienced by those on fixed incomes, particularly senior citizens. I wonder if the Minister can indicate whether the government will consider taking action to remedy this.

## ORAL QUESTIONS

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Health.

HON. SAUL A. MILLER (Minister of Health and Social Development) (Seven Oaks): Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the honourable member for giving me advance notice on this. This matter had been brought to the attention of myself and because of the session I haven't had an opportunity to meet with the dealers. This matter will be looked into inter-sessionally by the department. I expect to meet with the hearing aid dealers as soon as possible after the session to look into the question and the problems that have been encountered of recent date.

MR. SPIVAK: Yes. Another question to the Minister of Health and Social Development. I wonder if he's in a position to confirm that there were treatments at the Manitoba Cancer Health Treatment Centre today that had to be undertaken in the hallways because of lack of space.

MR. MILLER: No, Mr. Speaker, this has not been brought to my attention; I have not heard of it until this moment.

MR. SPIVAK: Well I wonder if the Minister would undertake to take that as notice and to be able to inform the House?

MR. MILLER: Yes, I'll certainly make inquiries.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

MR. GORDON E. JOHNSTON (Portage la Prairie): Mr. Speaker, I direct a question to the Honourable the Attorney-General. It relates to the notice sent out to certain apartment blocks in Winnipeg by the owners that political signs would not be allowed on the outside of the apartments. So my question is: To a tenant who places a banner or a sign on his balcony, is he breaking the law?

MR. SPEAKER: Order please. The question is a legal one; I'm not sure it's right for the question period.

HON. HOWARD PAWLEY, Q.C. (Attorney-General) (Selkirk): I should like to take the opportunity, though, to answer it by correcting a report in today's Free Press which deals with this very matter, and it quotes me incorrectly, not because of the reporter's doings but I think because of a misprint. The wording in the Free Press article, Page 2, today, states in respect to the very matter raised by the Honourable Member for Portage. "However Attorney-General Howard Pawley said in the interview that he does believe landlords have the right to prohibit the placing of such signs by the tenants unless the practice is specifically prohibited in the individual lease agreements". Well, Mr. Speaker, the words should be "doesn't have the right". It would be my understanding that by common law that an individual has the free right in a democratic country to communicate one's political belief in a lawful manner, reasonable manner, and I do regret that there may be, it may appear to be, some within our community that would reject that democratic right of the individual.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Another question to the same Minister, Mr. Speaker. In the event that the owners of these certain apartment blocks were to enter by way of . . .

MR. SPEAKER: The question's hypothetical already.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Well, Mr. Speaker, I ask the Attorney-General, does the tenant have the right to defend his premises for anyone entering by way of giving 24 hours notice under the Rentalsman's Act?

MR. SPEAKER: Again it's a legal problem. I'm sure the honourable member can find other means of getting information except by posing legal questions on the floor of the House.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Mr. Speaker, I'll try to pose the question to suit your line of thought.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please. It's not my line of thought, it's your rules.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: The rules.

MR. SPEAKER: The House's rules.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Is it correct that a tenant can resist entry into his building for the purpose to remove a political sign?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. PAWLEY: Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding that 24 hours notice must be given prior to the entry by a landlord to a tenant's premises, and that a landlord would have no right to enter a tenant's premise unless such notice was given. And insofar as the right to resist, then again I think it's a question of law, court decision.

I should mention that there was a case only a few years ago which I believe--well it went

## ORAL QUESTIONS

(MR. PAWLEY cont'd) . . . . to the, certainly to the Supreme Court of Ontario, it may have gone higher - that an individual had the right to post a political sign on his property despite a bylaw, despite an ordinance that had been passed by a municipal authority that it attempted to prevent such posting of this means of communication. So that I think that if the matter did proceed to higher courts, that the courts would probably relate to this decision in a higher court of some years back.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Brandon West.

MR. EDWARD MCGILL (Brandon West): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Honourable the Minister of Agriculture. I wonder if he could confirm that the legal action taken by his government to prevent competition in the supply of frozen semen to the cattle industry in Manitoba has been thrown out of court and that the costs of that action have been assessed to the province?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Agriculture.

HON. SAMUEL USKIW (Minister of Agriculture) (Lac du Bonnet): Mr. Speaker, I should like to correct the honourable member. There was no legal action on the basis of the province wanting to restrict competition.

MR. MCGILL: A supplementary question. Did the Government take action against a particular supplier of frozen semen to the cattle industry in Manitoba in an attempt to prevent them from using their truck on the highways to deliver that semen?

MR. USKIW: Yes, there were legal proceedings with respect to having all supplies comply with the central distribution agency but not with the intent of preventing them from distributing in the Province of Manitoba.

MR. MCGILL: To the same Minister. Was that action then denied by the court and the costs assessed to the province?

MR. USKIW: I don't know, Mr. Speaker; I would have to take that as notice.

MR. MCGILL: In view of the decision of the court, would the Minister of Agriculture now use his office to terminate the program of interrogation, intimidation and coercion of the A. I. . . . ?

MR. SPEAKER: Order please. The Honourable Member for Rock Lake.

MR. HENRY J. EINARSON (Rock Lake): Mr. Speaker, I direct a question to the Minister of Agriculture and would like to ask him if he can indicate whether or not the Manitoba Hog Marketing Board are still preventing hog producers from delivering hogs to market when they reach market weight?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Agriculture.

MR. USKIW: Mr. Speaker, my information is that the board has never prevented anyone from delivering their product, but what they have been attempting to do is schedule the delivery in such a way as would enhance the price of the product.

MR. EINARSON: Mr. Speaker, I direct a question, a second question to the Minister of Agriculture. Then is he aware that a hog producer must phone in to the Hog Marketing Board to get permission to deliver hogs and in many many cases have been told they must hold them for at least two weeks. Is this still going on?

MR. USKIW: Mr. Speaker, the Member for Rock Lake should be aware that the facilities of the Hog Marketing Board are very limited and if you get an oversupply of hogs beyond what the market can handle in any one day, there is no room for that volume and therefore they either have to stay on the trucks all night to the next day, or they have to be shipped back, so it's in the interest of the producer to be advised not to bring them in if the volumes are at that level.

MR. EINARSON: Mr. Speaker, I direct a third question to the Minister. In view of the answer that he has just given can he indicate whether or not this has ever happened in the history of the hog producers before where the packing facilities have not been able to accommodate the number of hogs coming into the plants?

A MEMBER: Never, never.

MR. USKIW: Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether one could discover if one looked through the history books whether such an event took place, but I should like to indicate to my honourable friend that we are having problems of this kind right across Canada. The Ontario Hog Producers Marketing Board is having similar problems; the Saskatchewan Producers Hog Marketing Board is having similar problems, and my understanding is that they are putting

## ORAL QUESTIONS

(MR. USKIW cont'd) . . . . some pork into cold storage because of it, because of the lack of market availability at the moment.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Minnedosa.

MR. DAVID BLAKE (Minnedosa): Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My question is to the Honourable the Minister in charge of the Public Insurance Corporation. I would ask him if he would confirm in view of the recently announced deficits facing Autopac within the near future, can the Minister confirm that he will be introducing legislation at the next session which will open the automobile insurance industry in the province to competition in order that people may have the freedom of choice to obtain whatever rates and coverage they wish?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister.

HON. BILLIE URUSKI (Minister responsible for the Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation) (St. George): Mr. Speaker, first of all there has been no announcement of heavy deficits; there is indication that there will be deficits; and the answer to the second question is no.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Rhineland.

MR. ARNOLD BROWN (Rhineland): Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My question is to the Minister of Health and Social Development. I wonder can the Minister tell this House whether his department has done studies to determine which Manitoba will run out of first, beds or nurses?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Health.

MR. MILLER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last phrase of that question.

MR. BROWN: Well I was wondering, Mr. Speaker, whether the Minister, or whether his department had done studies to determine which Manitoba will run out of first, beds or nurses?

MR. MILLER: Mr. Speaker, that question doesn't deserve an answer, so I won't give it.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

RETURNS TO ORDERS

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, I have two sets of Returns to Orders. The first is relating to Orders 26, 28, 31, 33 and 45 all of which relate to advertising agencies and the allocation of various departmental accounts with respect to advertising, and that I now table. And the other is Return to Order of the House No. 9 and the motion of the Honourable Member for Souris-Killarney, and there are nine copies.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Radisson.

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTIONS

MR. HARRY SHAFRANSKY (Radisson): Mr. Speaker, by leave, I wish to make two substitutions on the Law Amendments Committee; Evans for Pawley, Miller for Patterson.

A MEMBER: Which Pawley?

MR. SHAFRANSKY: Pawley, Selkirk.

ORAL QUESTIONS Cont'd

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Leader of the Opposition.

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Speaker, a few days ago I asked the Premier as to how many communications, telegrams, letters had been sent to him in connection with the Manitoba Cancer and Research Treatment Clinic, and I believe he replied 650. I wonder if he can indicate whether he has received today an additional 600 telegrams asking that the government act on this matter?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Well, Mr. Speaker, I could not confirm or deny that there have been additional telegrams received. It has not been brought to my attention this morning and the estimate I gave, I've rechecked it and it is approximately correct in that order of 650.

ORDERS OF THE DAY - GOVERNMENT BILLS

MR. SPEAKER: Orders of the Day. The Honourable House Leader.

HON. RUSSELL PAULLEY (Minister of Labour) (Transcona): I wonder, Mr. Speaker - it appears to me that there might be a slight procedural difficulty dealing with the bill that was introduced by the First Minister on the City of Winnipeg Act. It looks to me, and I'm not clairvoyant, it looks to me that there is, or could conceivably be, the possibility that we're nearing the end of this session, and I'm wondering, Mr. Speaker, whether we might in order to overcome a possible procedural difficulty give to the First Minister the opportunity of moving second reading of Bill 97 in order that it might go into Law Amendments Committee this afternoon so that it could be dealt with, of course by leave, if there is a desire to complete this particular session. So if we have leave, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that the Honourable the First Minister make the formal motion of second reading and on its approval that this bill be referred to Law Amendments Committee.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Morris.

MR. WARNER H. JORGENSEN (Morris): Mr. Speaker, we have no objection to that course of action. There is another course of action that's available to the Minister and that is keep the bill right in the Committee of the Whole House, but whichever is more convenient to the Government is quite satisfactory for us.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

BILL NO. 97.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, I thank honourable members for leave to proceed to second reading stage. On balance I would agree that it is preferable to deal with it in Law Amendments Committee as indicated by the House Leader. Therefore I can move then, seconded by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, that Bill No. 97, an Act to Amend the City of Winnipeg Act (3), be now read a second time.

MOTION presented and carried.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable House Leader.

MR. PAULLEY: I would now propose, Mr. Speaker, that we declare a recess.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, brief as it may be, it would certainly be in order and in fact desirable that I make some elaborating explanation as to the purpose of the Bill 97, and that is that there is some considerable concern that has developed among those who serve on the City Council, those in the various standing committees and executive policy committees of the City, that Section 653 as currently worded, and as is being apparently interpreted by the courts, is having a far greater restraining and handcuffing effect on City of Winnipeg operations than was really intended. Upon listening to representations made by the representative group from the City of Winnipeg, as Minister of Urban Affairs, I tend to concur with their reasoning that there does, well, certainly appear to be an excessive application of Section 653, so much so that there is even now some room for argument as to whether 653 as currently worded would require environmental impact studies to be carried out preliminary, not only to the construction of new public works, but even to the maintenance and operation of existing public works which--(Interjection)--which, Mr. Speaker, I find to be a rather extravagant and far-fetched interpretation, but the very fact that it is open to argument indicates to me very clearly that it is desirable to close off that type of possible far-fetched interpretation and to clarify it; to clarify, I repeat.

Therefore, surely honourable members will all agree that with respect to pre-existing or existing public works that are already built in place, etc. that there is surely no need for environmental impact studies to be carried out every time the City sees fit, according to its own decision making, to repave a street or to engage in the repair and refurbishing of a sewer and water system, and that's really because this seems to be so much a matter of elementary common sense that there ought to be no doubt whatsoever involved, hence the contents of Bill 97.

At Law Amendments Committee stage, I am attempting to insure that there will be someone with legal background there to elaborate further on this bill should honourable members so desire. I recommend it to the House.

MR. SPEAKER: Agreed? (Agreed). So ordered. The Honourable Member for Sturgeon Creek.

## BILL 97

MR. J. FRANK JOHNSTON: (Sturgeon Creek): Mr. Speaker, what the First Minister has said is exactly true as we read it on this side. It goes so far as to almost demand an environmental study to sweep the streets because it might cause dust, as has been explained to me by one of the Ministers, and we certainly are in agreement on this. There may be some questions to ask in Law Amendments, but we'd like to see it go there for that purpose.

MR. SPEAKER: (Agreed) Bill is ordered to Committee.

THIRD READING - BILL NO. 70

MR. PAULLEY: I wonder, Mr. Speaker, whether you would call third reading on Bill No. 70.

BILL NO. 70 was read a third time and passed.

MR. PAULLEY: I now propose, Mr. Speaker, that a recess is declared and that honourable members of the Law Amendments Committee, and of course anyone else, adjourn to Room 54 to consider bills that have been referred to Law Amendments Committee, and that members be prepared to return to the assembly after the conclusion of consideration of bills at Law Amendments Committee.

MR. SPEAKER: House is now recessed and stands recessed until the conclusion of Law Amendments or 5:30, whichever is sooner.

\* \* \* \* \*

House ReconvenedIN SESSION

MR. SPEAKER: Order please. Now that the recess from Law Amendments is over, get back to business. First item will be the Report of the Committee.

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE - LAW AMENDMENTS

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for St. Vital.

MR. WALDING: Mr. Speaker, I beg to present the Eighth Report of the Standing Committee on Law Amendments.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, if I may, I believe that it would require the leave of the House to accept the Report from the Committee in that earlier this afternoon at this particular session we did receive a report from a Committee on Law Amendments, and may I in due respect suggest that leave be granted to receive this report at this time and it be recorded accordingly.

MR. SPEAKER: Is it agreed to proceed? (Agreed)

It shall be recorded.

MR. CLERK: Your Committee met on Friday, June 14, 1974, and considered the following Bills just passed.

No. 74 - The Manitoba Trading Corporation Act.

And has agreed to report the same without amendment.

Your Committee also considered Bills:

No. 75 - The Northern Affairs Act.

No. 83 - An Act to amend The Automobile Insurance Act.

No. 97 - An Act to amend The City of Winnipeg Act (3).

And has agreed to report the same with certain amendments.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for St. Vital.

MR. WALDING: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Gimli, that the Report of the Committee be received.

MOTION presented and carried.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, I want to draw to the attention of the House our Rule 88 (14) which deals with the question of Third Readings where there are no amendments, and also Third Readings where there are, and I would respectfully suggest to my colleagues in the Assembly that this particular rule be waived. The essence of the Rule is that they cannot be proceeded with until a second session, or another session. In view of the situation now prevailing, may I respectfully suggest that the rule be waived so that it is permissible to have Third Readings of the Bills that were considered in Committee of the Law Amendments this afternoon.

## COMMITTEE - LAW AMENDMENTS

MR. SPEAKER: Agreed? Very well.

MR. PAULLEY: Then I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, it would be proper for us to go into consideration of Third Reading of the amended bills commencing with Bill No. 24, the bill dealing with the Mount Carmel Clinic, and then if you would take them, Mr. Speaker, as they appear on the paper that each member of the Committee has, or the Assembly has.

THIRD READINGS

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Point Douglas.

BILL NO. 24 was read a third time and passed.

MR. SPEAKER: Bill No. 56. Order please.

BILL NO. 24

MR. PAULLEY: I understand that the Honourable Member for Point Douglas is on his feet; he may want to make a contribution on third reading.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Point Douglas.

REV. DONALD MALINOWSKI (Point Douglas): Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I didn't have a chance to say--(Interjection)--I would like to be as brief as possible. I know this is Third Reading, so I would like to say a few words or a few remarks concerning the Mount Carmel Clinic. Mr. Speaker, in 1926, nearly 50 years ago, a group of Winnipeg men and women representing a number of Jewish organizations met with a handful of Jewish physicians to try to devise a means of dealing with a serious problem in their midst. The problem was the fact that there were growing numbers of people in the community who required medical care but could not afford to pay for hospital and medical treatment, and others who, although they could pay something, could pay only a very little towards these services.

During the years when immigrants were arriving in Canada . . .

MR. SPEAKER: Order please.

MR. MALINOWSKI: . . . in successive ways seeking a new life, those already established took on the responsibility to helping the newcomers. It was in the same spirit that thoughtful and committed citizens of the Jewish faith in Winnipeg initiated the blueprint for the institution which became known as the Mount Carmel Clinic. Once the plans had been drawn up the Jewish community was approached for funds and for volunteers. Tag days were held; one tag day sold bricks at \$1.00 each. Private donations and contributions from Jewish organizations made up the balance of the funds needed for a beginning. On April 23, 1926, the Mount Carmel Clinic was incorporated by an Act of Legislature and the names of 28 Jewish organizations were listed on the Charter. Little by little the stuff of dreams became bricks and mortar and eventually a modest-sized clinic opened its doors to the public.

From year to year it took on more and more clients and volunteered the services offered as the Welfare Council of Greater Winnipeg reported in survey of that clinic in 1951. I quote; "Its specific purpose was to provide free and low cost medical service by unpaid medical personnel to Winnipeg citizens regardless of race or creed." The report continues, "Most of the immigrants arriving in Winnipeg were faced with the problem of language, and the ability of the staff and physicians of the Mount Carmel Clinic to converse with a client in their mother tongue was an aid at that time. This language experience also provided a psychological one which was perhaps lacking in the immigrants connected with other medical institutions."

For many years, the report states, outstanding members of the medical profession gave a considerable amount of their time to the clinic. The report also points out that the clinic, being situated close to the geographical distribution of a large number of immigrant population, was also handy and convenient to a great many people.

The Jewish community of Winnipeg was justly proud of the Mount Carmel Clinic. It was gratifying to know that through this institution they were helping people of all nationalities and were thus contributing to the general health and well-being of their city. For many years the Jewish community continued to be the source of financial support of the Mount Carmel Clinic. In the 1950s and 60s the service to the larger community of people being served was recognized. The City of Winnipeg and the United Way responded with grants as did some of the suburban municipalities.

MR. SPEAKER: Order please. Order please. I realize it's just 5:30 now. Does the House Leader wish to extend the time?

## BILL 24

MR. MALINOWSKI: I have just a few phrases. . .

EXTENSION OF SITTING

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, I believe that there is a general consensus, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate and I respect your position that it is 5:30, I believe that there is a general inclination of members of the House that, notwithstanding the Rules of the House, that we extend the normal adjournment hour until such time as we have concluded the business of the House. And in all due respect, Sir, if this is so, I would ask you to work overtime and occupy your august position until such time as we have concluded the business of this session.

I would like to hear an inclination from the Official Opposition and the representatives of the Liberal Party as to whether or not what I am now saying meets with their concurrence.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Morris.

MR. JORGENSON: Mr. Chairman, the understanding was that if it looked as though we could conclude the session in reasonable time that we'd be prepared to grant leave to complete the session. I didn't realize that we were going to be listening to a historical review, and I don't know how long that's going to take. If it looks as though this can be concluded in expeditious time and we can proceed with the Third Reading of these bills and the conclusion of the Session, we'd be prepared to grant leave to continue on.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Well, Mr. Speaker, we agree with the Acting House Leader that we would like to co-operate and expedite the ending of the House for this year, and I do add some words in support of the Member for Morris when he mentions the fact that the speaker, the Member for Fort Douglas, is actually discussing second reading of the bill - it's in Third Reading - he's talking about the principle of the bill, and there's been no disagreement. I think we all feel this bill should be expedited, and I would hope that the Member for Point Douglas would recognize that fact and let us get on with the business of the House.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Acting House Leader.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, in view of the comments of the Honourable Member from Morris and the Honourable Member for Portage, which I concur, may I appeal to my colleague the Honourable Member for Point Douglas that he may curtail his remarks quickly in order that we may proceed with our understanding arrived at outside of the House. I suggest to my honourable colleague that he terminate his remarks as quickly as possible.

BILL 24 cont'd

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Point Douglas.

MR. MALINOWSKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If this is the case, well I would like to close, you know, as soon as possible, so I would like to just in concluding my remarks, I would like to mention that in recent years the Mount Carmel Clinic has pioneered in involving a new concept and approach towards the delivery of health care. This bill reflects these new approaches towards comprehensive health care services to our community. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: MOTION carried.

THIRD READINGS

BILLS NOS. 56, 64, 68, 71, 73, 75 and 83 were each read a third time and passed.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister. Member for . . . On . . . Just a minute. The bill will be passed and, as requested, on Division.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, it can't be on Division. Okay.

BILLS NOS. 84, 86, 90, 91, 92, 97, 41, 74, 87, 93, 94, and 96 were each read a third time and passed.

RESOLUTION - STANDING COMMITTEES

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, I have a resolution that I wish to propose to the House, and this was agreed upon some time ago, that because of the changes that took place on the Standing Committees and substitutions during the procedures in the House, that it was agreed upon that after all committees had met with their substitutions, that we would revert back in large to the committees that were established by the committee of seven, and so therefore, Mr. Speaker, I wish to move, seconded by the Honourable Minister of Finance, the following resolution:



## RESOLUTION - STANDING COMMITTEES

THAT WHEREAS on February the 12th, 1974, the report of a Special Committee to prepare a list of members of the Standing Committees ordered by the House setting out a list of members to compose the Standing Committees ordered by the House, was received; and

WHEREAS the memberships of the Standing Committees ordered by the House have been varied from time to time by resolutions passed by the House; and

WHEREAS it is deemed advisable to re-establish the membership of the Standing Committees ordered by the House as hereinafter set out;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the memberships of the Standing Committees ordered by the House be confirmed in accordance with the following list.

I might say, Mr. Speaker, that the list has not been materially changed except by a resolution, order of the Honourable the Whip of the Conservative Party today, with one or two minor substitutions, and I would suggest, Mr. Chairman, that it would be quite proper for the adoption of this resolution as agreed upon.

MOTION presented and carried.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, I understand that the First Minister has now vacated his seat in order that His Honour may come into the Assembly and I believe that it concludes the business of this session, but before, Mr. Speaker, the Honourable Members --(Interjection)-- My friend and supporter, of course, the First Minister drew to my attention as to whether or not a resolution had been passed setting up the Municipal Committee to meet in between the sittings. That has been done. Now I would like to ask my honourable friend, before I proceed with what I was going to proceed with, insofar as the disposition of resolutions standing on the Order Paper for private members, it appears to me that there is an inclination to dispense with them - and fault me, for not calling those, Mr. Speaker - in order to conclude the Order Paper, and then, if we have concurrence, with what I have in my mind, I would like then, Mr. Speaker, to request members as to their conduct after His Honour has given the Royal Assent to Acts. In the meantime, however, I do want to indicate to honourable members that if there is an inclination to go through the procedure of adjudicating Private Members' Resolutions and dispense them--(Interjection)--

MR. SPEAKER: Well may the Chair put the proposition to the House. Is the House prepared to waive Private Members' Resolutions? The Honourable Leader of the Opposition.

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Speaker, I gather we do have a few moments to deal with this matter. As a matter of fact, if I'm correct in reading the Premier's fingers, it would be five minutes yet. So at this point, Mr. Speaker--(Interjection)--At the most. Well, give me four. Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may, I would just want to do two things. First, indicate that we would be prepared to accept the proposition, to use the Minister of Labour's words, that he's put forward with respect to the Order Paper; that would be agreeable to us.

And at the same time, if I may, Mr. Speaker, to use this as an opportunity to indicate to the members of the House from my point of view as the Leader of the Opposition, and on behalf of the colleagues of the Progressive Conservative Party, an expression of good wishes in the enjoyment of the summer and in the potential and probably - since at least the five years, or the succession of the New Democratic Party, which will allow probably the members to participate and enjoy a summer in Manitoba without having to deal with the rigors of the Legislature, and at the same time indicate through you, Mr. Speaker, to the officials who are responsible for the various activities within the House, including the pages, our sincere thanks for their effort, and to the media who have tolerated us, and in many cases we have tolerated them, to indicate and wish them well. At the same time indicate that while we do find, Mr. Speaker, some disagreement, some fundamental disagreement, with much that has happened, we still recognize that in the forum that we are dealing with, we are dealing in the forum in which the democratic process is followed, and to the extent that we've been able to contribute and participate as a group, I think we would express our congratulations to everyone, and at the same time indicate, as well, wish them well - possibly not as well on July 8th as some of them would want - but as well insofar as the summer is concerned.

MR. PAULLEY: Is that why you're wearing a black carnation?

MR. SPIVAK: They're blue carnations--and look forward for the opportunity to bring the government back to accounting for its actions at the next session. (Applause)

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage.

## RESOLUTION - STANDING COMMITTEES

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Mr. Speaker, we agree with the co-operative attitude of the Acting House Leader in the last few days, and we're quite willing to forego the Private Members' Resolutions - I believe most of them have been discussed in any case. But before I extend my good wishes to the Cabinet and the backbench on the other side for a placid and tranquil summer, I would like to remind the Minister responsible for the Department of Public Works that members in all parts of the House have been waiting patiently for better quarters, better accommodations, so that they can carry out their work, and it's a friendly reminder, it's not with rancour, I might say, Mr. Speaker, but we hope that the Minister will marshal his forces and do a little bit better than putting three brand new chairs in a corner in our caucus room. I don't know what he's done for the other two caucus rooms but we hope that he will address himself to the job at hand in that regard.

So I say again to the Speaker for his work in the House, and the front bench and the members of the Official Opposition, I feel that it has been a constructive session although there's been the odd bitter argument, I think that the people of Manitoba have been well served by this House. (Applause)

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it certainly would be remiss on my part if I did not say something in response to the finely spoken words of the Leader of the Opposition and the Honourable the Member for Portage la Prairie by way of response, because certainly the sentiments expressed by them are well taken. I suppose it could be said that - and here I feel that I may be misunderstood so I will speak with some care, Sir - that as sometimes happens at the eulogy, at the funeral of a deceased - it's very rare but sometimes it has been said that the deceased has been described as having led a life that nothing was so becoming as his leaving of it. But that would not be a very nice, nor would it be an accurate description of the First Session of the Thirtieth Legislature which is about to close. I guess, Sir, what I really meant to say was that I really felt genuinely that in the past three weeks to a month of the First Session of the Thirtieth Legislature that the workings of democracy were functioning at almost their best, if not at their best, and the first month or so was rather rocky and I'm sure that much that was said on both sides was relatively harsh and hardly spoken, but I have no reason to assume that it wasn't genuinely felt. But that is democracy, and that is the function of this Assembly and this Chamber, to give the opportunity for the voicing of conviction and of opinion on behalf of our fellow citizens. It is at least a good saving grace that in the past few weeks that we have been working as legislators in the fullest and best sense. I commend all on both sides for their efforts. (Applause)

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, all I want to do is in the interest of the protection of public property; may I suggest to my honourable friends in the Assembly that - maybe the Minister of Public Works should be doing this rather than I - all I want to do, Mr. Speaker, that as we await his Honour may I appeal to the honourable members of the Assembly that they do not throw paper other than just little scraps of paper so that the equipment in the Assembly may not be damaged, and I recognize my honourable friend from Lakeside would love to throw that ashtray at me but I ask him to desist.

MR. SHAFRANSKY: Mr. Speaker, I just wish to say that I am not moving an amendment. I am not moving an amendment, and I am not going to antagonize you by not providing you with the proper notations and amendments, but I do wish to state that the person, the most, the expert on the day in which parliament is going to adjourn, is the Honourable Member for Rhineland.

ROYAL ASSENT

DEPUTY SERGEANT-AT-ARMS (Mr. R. Cadger): His Honour The Lieutenant-Governor.

MR. SPEAKER: May it please Your Honour, the Legislative Assembly at its present Session passed several bills which in the name of the Assembly I present to Your Honour, to which Bills I respectfully request Your Honour's Assent.

MR. CLERK:

No. 7 - An Act to Amend the Civil Service Act.

No. 23 - An Act to Amend the Liquor Control Act.

No. 24 - The Mount Carmel Clinic Act.

No. 33 - The Power Engineers Act.

ROYAL ASSENT

(MR. CLERK cont'd)

No. 41 - An Act to Amend An Act to Incorporate the Sinking Fund Trustees of Winnipeg School Division No. 1.

No. 44 - An Act to Amend the Workmen's Compensation Act.

No. 56 - An Act to Incorporate United Health Services Corporation.

No. 64 - The Treasury Branches Act.

No. 68 - An Act to Amend the Law Society Act (2).

No. 70 - The Animal Diseases Act.

No. 71 - An Act to Amend the Consumer Protection Act.

No. 73 - The Buildings and Mobile Homes Act.

No. 74 - The Manitoba Trading Corporation Act.

No. 75 - The Northern Affairs Act.

No. 77 - The Statute Law Amendments Taxation Act (1974).

No. 83 - An Act to Amend the Automobile Insurance Act.

No. 84 - The Statute Law Amendment Act (1974).

No. 85 - An Act to Amend the Mineral Taxation Act.

No. 86 - An Act to Amend the Highway Traffic Act (4).

No. 87 - An Act to Amend the Animal Husbandry Act.

No. 88 - An Act to Amend the Liquor Control Act (3).

No. 89 - The Pari-Mutuel Tax Act.

No. 90 - The Human Rights Act.

No. 91 - An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act.

No. 92 - An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act.

No. 93 - An Act Respecting the Family of Cyril George John Orchard (Deceased).

No. 94 - An Act to Amend an Act Respecting the Agricultural and Community District of Newdale.

No. 95 - An Act to Amend the Legislative Assembly Act.

No. 96 - An Act to Amend an Act to Incorporate the Winnipeg Real Estate Board.

No. 97 - An Act to Amend the City of Winnipeg Act (3).

In Her Majesty's Name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to these Bills.

HIS HONOUR WILLIAM JOHN McKEAG (Lieutenant-Governor of Manitoba): Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly, the work of the First Session for the 30th Legislature has now been completed. I wish to commend the Members for their faithful attention to their duties, including many hours devoted to consideration of Bills and Estimates, both in the House and in the Committee. I convey to you my appreciation of your concern for the public interest and for the general welfare of our province. I thank you for providing the necessary sums of money for carrying on the public business. It will be the intention of my Ministers to insure that these sums will be expended with both efficiency and economy by all departments of the Government.

In relieving you now of your present duties and declaring the First Session of the 30th Legislature prorogued, I give you my best wishes and pray that under the guidance of a Divine Providence our Province may continue to provide the things that which are necessary for the health, the happiness, and the well-being of all our people.

MR. CHERNIACK: It is the will and pleasure of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor that this Legislative Assembly be prorogued until it shall please His Honour to summon the same for the dispatch of business. And the Legislative Assembly is accordingly prorogued.

(God Save the Queen)